## Locating Capacitated Unreliable Facilities

M. Albareda-Sambola, M. Landete, J.F. Monge, and J.L. Sainz-Pardo

VI International Workshop on Locational Analysis and Related Problems November 2015





#### Outline



- 2 Modeling assumptions
- **3** Formulations and solutions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

4 Computational Results





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ 注目 のへで



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ 注目 のへで



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ 厘 の��



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

What if facilities are capacitated?

#### Literature review 1: uncapacitated

#### L. Snyder.

Facility location under uncertainty: a review. *IIE Transactions*, 38(7):547–564, 2006.

J. R. O'Hanley, M. P. Scaparra, and S. García.

Probability chains: A general linearization technique for modeling reliability in facility location and related problems.

European Journal of Operational Research, 230:63-75, 2013.



O. Berman, D. Krass, and M. Menezes. Location and reliability problems on a line: Impact of objectives and correlated failures on optimal location patterns.

Omega, 41:766-779, 2013.



O. Berman, D. Krass, and M. Menezes. Locating facilities in the presence of disruptions and incomplete information. *Decision Sciences*, 40(4):845–868, 2009.



M. Albareda-Sambola, Y. Hinojosa, and J. Puerto. The reliable p-median problem with at-facility service. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 245:656–666, 2015.

#### Literature review 2: capacitated



#### D. Gade and E. Pohl. (2009)

Sample average approximation applied to the capacitated-facilities location problem with unreliable facilities. *J of Risk and Reliability*: 259–269.

#### N. Aydin and A. Murat.(2013)

A swarm intelligence based sample average approximation algorithm for the capacitated reliable facility location problem. *Int J Prod Econ*, 145:173–183.

#### Y. An, B. Zeng, Y. Zhang, and L. Zhao.(2014)

Reliable p-median facility location problem: two stage robust models and algorithms. *Transport Res B-Meth*, 64:54–72.



I. Espejo, A. Marín, and A. M. Rodríguez-Chía.(2015) Capacitated p-center problem with failre foresight. *EJOR*, 247:229–244.



N. Azad, H. Davoudpour, G. Saharidis, and M. Shiripour.(2014) A new model for mitigating random disruption risks of facility and transportation in supply chain network design. *Int J Adv Manuf Tech*, 70:1757–1774.

K. Lim., A. Bassamboo, S. Chopra, and M. Daskin.(2013) Facility location decisions with random disruptions and imperfect estimation. *M&SOM-Manuf Serv Op*, 15:239–249.

• Each candidate facility location  $(i \in I)$ :

- has a fixed opening cost  $f_i$ ,
- a capacity  $Q_i$ ,
- and can be reliable  $(\in NF)$  or unreliable  $(\in F)$ .

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• Each candidate facility location  $(i \in I)$ :

- has a fixed opening cost  $f_i$ ,
- a capacity  $Q_i$ ,
- and can be reliable ( $\in NF$ ) or unreliable ( $\in F$ ).

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Each customer  $j \in J$ :
  - has a demand h<sub>j</sub>,
  - induces a cost *d<sub>ij</sub>* if served from facility *i*.

• Each candidate facility location  $(i \in I)$ :

- has a fixed opening cost  $f_i$ ,
- a capacity *Q*<sub>i</sub>,
- and can be reliable  $(\in NF)$  or unreliable  $(\in F)$ .

- Each customer  $j \in J$ :
  - has a demand h<sub>j</sub>,
  - induces a cost *d<sub>ij</sub>* if served from facility *i*.
- Unreliable facility failures occur
  - with a probability q,
  - and independently.

• Each candidate facility location  $(i \in I)$ :

- has a fixed opening cost  $f_i$ ,
- a capacity *Q*<sub>i</sub>,
- and can be reliable  $(\in NF)$  or unreliable  $(\in F)$ .
- Each customer  $j \in J$ :
  - has a demand h<sub>j</sub>,
  - induces a cost *d<sub>ij</sub>* if served from facility *i*.
- Unreliable facility failures occur
  - with a probability q,
  - and independently.

■ A dummy facility models lost customers → penalty.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Failure(s)! And now?



If one facility fails and full reassignments are allowed:



CRLP

## Failure(s)! And now?



If one facility fails and full reassignments are allowed:



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

 $x_{ijr}$ : Facility *i* serves customer *j* at level r, r = 0, 1, 2, ...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $x_{ijr}$ : Facility *i* serves customer *j* at level r, r = 0, 1, 2, ...i.e., only if previous-level assignments failed

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $x_{ijr}$ : Facility *i* serves customer *j* at level r, r = 0, 1, 2, ...i.e., only if previous-level assignments failed

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



 $x_{ijr}$ : Facility *i* serves customer *j* at level r, r = 0, 1, 2, ...i.e., only if previous-level assignments failed

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



Easy to implement.

Keeps failure effects local.

 $x_{ijr}$ : Facility *i* serves customer *j* at level r, r = 0, 1, 2, ...i.e., only if previous-level assignments failed



Easy to implement.

Keeps failure effects local.



Less flexible  $\longrightarrow$  maybe more expensive

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $x_{ijr}$ : Facility *i* serves customer *j* at level r, r = 0, 1, 2, ...i.e., only if previous-level assignments failed



Easy to implement.





Less flexible  $\longrightarrow$  maybe more expensive



Capacity becomes more challenging.

CRLP

## Failure(s)! And now?: Assignment levels



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ 厘 の��

Should be granted in regular conditions:

$$\sum_{j\in J}h_jx_{ij0}\leqslant Q_iy_i$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Should be granted in regular conditions:

$$\sum_{j\in J}h_jx_{ij0}\leqslant Q_iy_i$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

What about scenarios with failures?

Should be granted in regular conditions:

$$\sum_{j\in J}h_jx_{ij0}\leqslant Q_iy_i$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- What about scenarios with failures?
  - Granted always?  $\Rightarrow$  Most often unfeasible

Should be granted in regular conditions:

$$\sum_{j\in J}h_jx_{ij0}\leqslant Q_iy_i$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- What about scenarios with failures?
  - Granted always?  $\Rightarrow$  Most often unfeasible
  - Granted if 1 facility fails?  $\longrightarrow$  Espejo et. al.'15

Should be granted in regular conditions:

$$\sum_{j\in J}h_jx_{ij0}\leqslant Q_iy_i$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- What about scenarios with failures?
  - Granted always?  $\Rightarrow$  Most often unfeasible
  - Granted if 1 facility fails?  $\longrightarrow$  Espejo et. al.'15
  - Uncapacitated backups  $\longrightarrow$  Aydin, Murat'13

Should be granted in regular conditions:

$$\sum_{j\in J}h_jx_{ij0}\leqslant Q_iy_i$$

- What about scenarios with failures?
  - Granted always? ⇒ Most often unfeasible
  - Granted if 1 facility fails?  $\longrightarrow$  Espejo et. al.'15
  - Uncapacitated backups → Aydin, Murat'13
  - US Small overloads might be assumed in emergency situations.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



#### Demand distribution at X for q = 0.2



▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲ 臣 > ▲ 臣 > → 臣 = ∽ 의 < ⊙ < ⊙



#### Demand distribution at X for q = 0.2



# Limits on expected loads - LEL( $V, \gamma$ )

Expected demands can exceed the capacities in at most  $\gamma$  facilities by, at most, V

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Limits on expected loads - LEL( $V, \gamma$ )

Expected demands can exceed the capacities in at most  $\gamma$  facilities by, at most, V

$$\sum_{j \in J} h_i \sum_{r \in R} q^r Y_{ijr} \leqslant Q_i y_i + \nu_i \qquad i \in I$$
$$\nu_i \leqslant V u_i \qquad j \in J$$
$$\sum_{i \in I} u_i \leqslant \gamma$$
$$\nu_i \geqslant 0 \qquad i \in I$$
$$u_i \in \{0, 1\} \qquad i \in I$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - のへぐ

## Expected overloads - E(X)



# Expected overloads - E(X)



Far from linear!

# Expected overloads - E(X)



Far from linear!

Resort to bounds/approximations

## Bounding-bound expected overload- B(V)

Total expected overloads are Bbounded above by  $\boldsymbol{V}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Bounding-bound expected overload- B(V)

#### Total expected overloads are Bbounded above by V

$$\begin{split} \sum_{s=1}^{r} \sum_{j \in J} h_j Y_{ijs} \leqslant Q_i + \nu_{ir} & \forall i \in I, r \in R \\ \lambda_{i1} = \nu_{i1} & \forall i \in I \\ \lambda_{ir} = \nu_{ir} - \nu_{ir-1} & \forall i \in I, r > 1 \\ \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{r>0} q^r (1-q) \lambda_{ir} + \sum_{i \in NF} \sum_{r>0} q^r \lambda_{ir} \leq V \\ \lambda_{ir}, \nu_{ir} \geqslant 0 & \forall i \in I, r \in R \end{split}$$

# Bounding-estimate expected overload- LR(V)

Estimated total expected overloads are bounded above by V

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Bounding-estimate expected overload- LR(V)

Estimated total expected overloads are bounded above by V

$$\lambda_{\bullet r} = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_{ir} \qquad r \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$$
  
0.722844q $\lambda_{\bullet 1}$  + 0.335816q<sup>2</sup> $\lambda_{\bullet 2}$  + 0.233097q<sup>3</sup> $\lambda_{\bullet 3}$  + 0.374673q<sup>4</sup> $\lambda_{\bullet 4} \leqslant V$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Staggered capacities- $S(\beta)$

#### Capacities scaled by $\beta>1$ for unlikely needs

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Staggered capacities- $S(\beta)$

#### Capacities scaled by $\beta>1$ for unlikely needs

$$\sum_{s=0}^{r} \sum_{j \in J} h_i x_{ijs} \leqslant \beta^r Q_i y_i \qquad i \in I, r \ge 1$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Computational experience

- All formulations implemented in Cplex 11.0
- Experiments run in a PC with a 2.33 GHz Intel Xeon dual core processor, 8.5 GB of RAM
- 140 instances generated from 10 ORLIB *p*-median instances:

- $n \in \{20, 50\},\$
- $q \in 0.05, 0.10, 0.20,$
- $|NF| \in \{1, 16\}$  with two different relative costs
- Different formulation configurations  $(\gamma, V, \beta)$ .
- Disregarded r > 4.

# Solution quality (0)





**CRFLP - LEL** 

# Solution quality-B(V)



CRFLP - B1

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへ⊙

# Solution quality-LR(V)



**CRFLP - LR** 

# Solution quality-S( $\beta$ )



**CRFLP - S** 

# CPU times

		LEL		B1		LR		S		
п	q	V:1	$V:\infty$	V:3	V:6	V:3	V:6	eta : 1.1	$\beta$ : 1.2	$\beta$ : 1.3
		$\gamma: I $	$\gamma:2$							
20	.05	11.9	7.4	30.2	32.3	31.7	17.8	59.4	42.1	39.5
	.10	109.4	7.2	80.4	64.2	244.1	55.5	241.7	89.7	62.7
	.20	71.0	7.4	236.1	954.2	744.6	944.1	1252.7	344.4	49.8
50	.05	751.1	703.0	187.0	215.0	1152.6	1188.7	2514.9	2554.8	3607.0

# Gràcies!

maria.albareda@upc.edu

