Competition Effects and Transfers in Rail Rapid Transit Network Design

Acknowledgements: Research supported by project grant TRA2011-27791-C3-01 and TRA2014-52530-C3-1-P by "Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad" Spain.

VI INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON LOCATINAL ANALYSIS AND RELATED PROBLEMS, BARCELONA NOVEMBER 2015



YadeOD

YSEG, ZEN

 $\forall g \in G, k \in K_g, \pi \in$

 $\forall \pi \in \Pi$

 $\pi \in \Pi$

4. Solution approach

5.Case study

3.Optw $y_q^{\pi} \leq n_{\pi}$

9EG



Introduction

- The problem of increasing traffic congestion has raised the concerns about energy constraints and greenhouse emissions.
- Increasing mobility and longer journeys caused by the growth of cities have stimulated the construction and expansion of rail transit systems (metro, urban rail, light rail).
- The strategic and tactical railway planning problems may be summarized by the two following steps:
 - the railway network design problem;
 - o and the line planning problem.



Rail rapid transit network design

- Designing a Rapid Transit Network: strategic.
 - It may reduce traffic congestion, passenger travel, time and pollution.
- Main goals:
 - location decisions;
 - o and the maximum coverage of the demand for the new public network.
- List of potential rapid transit corridors and stations,
- Topology design
- and budget availability.



Line planning

- The following step: planning lines (tactical planning level).
- It consists of designing a line system such that all travel demands are satisfied and certain objectives are met:
 - maximizing the service towards the passengers;
 - and minimizing the operating costs of the railway system.
- In this phase the system capacity is considered.



Contributions

We present a mixed integer non-linear programming model for the rail rapid transit network design problem. Our major contributions include:

- 1. introduction of competition effects through a logit model;
- introduction of transfers in the modeling approach; a decisive attribute for attracting passengers;
- 3. computational experiments in real networks.





2.Problem description

 $\mathbf{misation}_{f_k}^{\mathbf{z}^{\pi}} \mathbf{model}_{J \in AF_k} z_f^{\pi}$

YadeOD

 $\forall g \in G, z \in \Pi$

 $\forall g \in G, k \in K_g, \pi \in$

 $\forall \pi \in \Pi$

 $\pi \in \Pi$

4. Solution approach

5. Case study

SEG



Infrastructure

- The rapid transit network consists of arcs and nodes.
- We assume that the location of the potential stations are given.
- Each node has an associated construction cost and each arc a construction cost and a distance.
- Lines support the design: but neither frequencies nor capacity.
- The new infrastructure: not isolated from the current network.
- We consider the existence of a current transport network formed by different modes of transport.



Passenger demand I

• Passenger groups: origin centroid, destination centroid, and passenger group size.

• The number of potential passengers from each origin to each destination is in average given.

• Passengers choose a path (new or current network).



Passenger demand II

• Demand will choose its path based on the generalized travel cost (distance).

$$P_{new}^w = \frac{e^{-(v^{new} + \beta u_w^{new})}}{e^{-(v^{new} + \beta u_w^{new})} + e^{-(v^{cur} + \beta u_w^{cur})}}$$

- Generalized costs for the current network are not well known.
- Congestion: different scenarios in the current network.





5. Case study



Input Notation

- Parameters:
- d_{ij} length of arc (ij).
- *c*_{*ij*} cost of constructing an arc (ij).
- *c*max upper budget bound.



- g_w number of passengers in passenger group w = (o(w); d(w)).
- u_{cur}^w generalized cost for passenger group w through the current network.



Output Notations

- x_{ij}^{l} binary variable: = 1 if line l is located using the arc (ij); = 0, otherwise.
- y_i^l binary variable: = 1 if station i is located; = 0, otherwise.
- f_{ij}^w binary variable: = 1 if demand w uses arc (ij) in the new network; = 0, otherwise.
- P_{new}^w probability for a passenger in demand w of selecting the new network.
- u_w^{new} the generalized cost in the new network for passenger group w.
- ϑ_w binary variable: = 1 if a path within the new network exists for demand w; 0, otherwise.
- τ_w^l binary variable: =1 if demand w uses line l, and 0 otherwise.



Model formulation

 $\min z = \alpha z_{cur} + \beta z_{loc} + \gamma z_{route}$

Objective function

$$\begin{aligned} z_{cur} &= \sum_{w \in W} g_w (1 - P_{new}^w), & \text{Der} \\ z_{loc} &= \sum_{l \in L} \sum_{(ij) \in A_r, i < j} c_{ij} x_{ij}^l + \sum_{i \in N_r} c_i \psi_i, & \text{Loc} \\ z_{route} &= \sum_{w \in W} u_w^{new} & \text{Rou} \end{aligned}$$

Demand coverage

Location costs

Routing costs



Model formulation

 $z_{loc} \le c_{\max}$

Budget

$x_{ij}^l \le y_i^l$	$\forall (ij) \in A_r : i < j, \forall l \in L$	
$x_{ij}^l \le y_j^l$	$\forall (ij) \in A_r : i < j, \; \forall l \in L$	Line location
$x_{ij}^l = x_{ji}^l$	$\forall (ij) \in A_r : i < j, \ \forall l \in L$	
$y_i^l \le \psi_i$	$\forall i \in N_r, \; \forall l \in L$	

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in N_r(i): i < j}} x_{ij}^l + \sum_{\substack{j \in N_r(i): i < j}} x_{ji}^l \le 2 \qquad \forall i \in N_r, \forall l \in L \\ \sum_{\substack{(ij) \in B: i < j}} x_{ij}^l \le |B| - 1 \qquad \forall l \in L, \forall B \subset N_r, |B| \ge 2 \qquad \text{Line paths}$$

+ other: location constraints, line constraints, etc.



Model formulation

$$\begin{split} u_w^{new} &= \sum_{(ij) \in A_r \cup A_d \cup A_o} d_{ij} f_{ij}^w + \nu_w \left(\sum_{l \in L} \tau_w^l - \vartheta_w \right) + \varphi_w (1 - \vartheta_w) \quad \forall w \in W \\ \vartheta_w &\leq \sum_{(ij) \in A_r \cup A_d \cup A_o} d_{ij} f_{ij}^w \qquad \forall w \in W \\ P_{new}^w &= \frac{e^{-(v^{new} + \beta u_w^{new})}}{e^{-(v^{new} + \beta u_w^{new})} + e^{-(v^{cur} + \beta u_w^{cur})}} \qquad \forall w \in W \\ \rho_{o(w)}^w &\geq P_{new}^w \qquad \forall w \in W \\ d_{w(w)}^w &\geq P_{new}^w \qquad \forall w \in W \\ \sum_{k \in N(i)} f_{ki}^w - \sum_{j \in N(i)} f_{ij}^w = -o_{o(w)}^w + d_{d(w)}^w \qquad \forall i \in N, w \in W \\ M_\tau \tau_w^l &\geq \sum_{(i,j) \in A_r} f_{ij}^w x_{ij}^l \qquad \forall w \in W, l \in L \\ \end{split}$$
Generalized cost

+ other: location-allocation constraints, etc.







Lagrangian relaxation

• The objective function in the Lagrangian relaxation approach is as follows:

$$\min \ \alpha z_{cur} + \beta z_{loc} + \gamma z_{route} + \sum_{ijw} (f_{ij}^w + f_{ji}^w - \chi_{ij}) \mu_{ij}^w$$

• The dual function is the function defined by:

$$\mathcal{R}^+ \ni \mu \to \theta(\mu) := \min_{\chi \in \chi} \mathcal{L}(\chi, \mu).$$

• The dual problem is then:

$$\max \theta(\mu), \mu \in \mathcal{R}^+.$$



Lagrangian relaxation

• Two submodels:

$$\min \beta z_{loc} - \sum_{ijw} \chi_{ij} \mu_{ij}^w$$

Location submodel

$$\min \ \alpha z_{cur} + \gamma z_{route} + \sum_{ijw} (f_{ij}^w + f_{ji}^w) \mu_{ij}^w$$

Passenger submodel



Lagrangian relaxation

- For given values of the duals we are able to solve problem easily.
- We use a cutting plane method to estimate the value of the duals at each iteration.

$$\max r$$

$$r \leq \theta(\mu_{it}) + g^{it,T}(\mu - \mu_{it}) \qquad \forall it \in IT$$

$$r \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$\mu \in \mathcal{R}^+.$$

• We subtract the following stabilization term to the objective function:

$$\frac{1}{2t} \left\| \mu - \hat{\mu} \right\|^2$$



Recovering the solution

• Store the location variables for each iteration in: χ_{ij}^{it} .

• Construct a linear combination of the solutions at each iteration: $\chi_{ij} = \sum \lambda_{it} \chi_{ij}^{it}$.

• Solve the original model using λ_{it} as decision variables, imposing $\sum_{it} \lambda_{it} = 1$.



Contents 1. Introduction **Problem description** $\underset{f \in AF_{k}}{\text{misation model}} z_{f}^{\pi}$ $g \in G$

YadeOD

 $\forall g \in G, z \in \Pi$

 $\forall g \in G, k \in K_g, \pi \in$

 $\forall \pi \in \Pi$

 $\pi \in \Pi$

4. Solution approach

5. Case study



Case study





Network R1

Seville network



Case study

Network R1

101 iterations







Case study

Competition effects & Transfers

<u>Competition effects</u> <u>& No Transfers</u> No Competition effects & No Transfers

Total demand: 1044 Captured demand: 646.56 # Transfers: 199.14 Total demand: 1044 Captured demand: 654,47 # Transfers: 457.71 Total demand: 1044 Captured demand: 980 # Transfers: 648



Conclusions

- We have proposed a new formulation for the rapid transit network design problem:
 - We have integrated the network design and the line planning.
 - We have modeled the transfers of passengers and minimized them;
 - And we have introduced competition effects in order to better estimate demand coverage.
- Future research: robust solutions. Further research needs to redefine the concept of robustness: recoverable robustness.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Any question, comment?

angel.marin@upm.es

